but the most natural is that of the ultra-violet light from the sun. In the present paper some calculations are made to determine the possibility of this cause leading to the required effect. Considering first the fraction of the solar energy that is available for gaseous ionization (wave-length less than 150 μ), if the radiation from the sun is treated as black-body radiation, this is deduced to be 1.0×10⁻¹⁶ of the total energy entering the atmosphere. It is assumed that the ionization is confined to a layer 300 kilometers thick and the number of ions which will be produced per cubic centimeter per second by this energy is then calculated. Taking suitable values for the coefficient of recombination and the specific velocities of the ions in the high altitudes considered, the specific conductivity  is next deduced to have a value of 8×10⁴ electrostatic units. The value of  required by Schuster is about 10⁰ times as large as this. The above calculation is based on the assumption that the atmospheric pressure in the layer is 1 dyne per square centimeter, and the assumption of a smaller pressure provides a loophole out of the difficulty. A curious result which arises from a further calculation on these lines is that the conductivity of the atmosphere should theoretically tend to an infinite value with increase of altitude, if we assume the laws of variation of the various quantities with pressure—which hold at pressures that are measurable—to apply also with smaller pressures. The physical reason for this lies in the increase in the specific velocity of the ions with diminution of pressure, that is, with increase of altitude.—J. S. Dines.

GROUND RAINBOWS.¹
By A. E. Heath.


Describes a colored bow similar to a rainbow of about the intensity of a good secondary rainbow, which was seen on the ground of a cricket field at about 11 a.m. on October 14, 1915. The sun was immediately behind the observer, and the bow appeared on the ground, starting from just in front of the observer's feet and stretching on either side in a sweeping curve away from the sun. The bow is explained as being due to sunlight refracted twice at the near surfaces and reflected once at the back surfaces of drops of water that had condensed on gossamer which covered the field. On this theory the angle between the directions of the incident and emergent rays is 42° 2' and the bow is the section by the ground, of the cone of which the semi-vertical angle is 42° 5', and the axis is the line joining the observer's eye to the sun. The bow will therefore be a circle, an ellipse, a parabola, or a hyperbola according as the sun is in the zenith, at an elevation of 42° 2' or more, of 42° 5' or less than 42° 5', or 38° 22' respectively. The elevation of the sun was about 38° 22' at the time of observation, and the bow was proved to be a hyperbola by pegging out its outline on the ground.—P. Gorter.

TEMPERATURE AND RADIATION OF THE SUN.³
By F. Bischof.


The purpose of the first section of the paper is to determine the temperature of the sun from the intensity of radiation for individual wave lengths in its spectrum, using the observations from the Smithsonian Institution at Washington made with the spectrohelioscope. The deduced absolute temperature of the solar surface is found to be on the average 7,300° ± 100°. Other observations made by the author with the aid of color filters in conjunction with the Angström compensation pyrheliometer are also examined for variations of solar radiation over small intervals of time.—C. P. Bueler.

SOLAR CORPUSCULAR RAYS.⁴
By K. Birkeland.


From the discussion of an extensive series of auroral observations Stormer has decided to regard the aurora as due to positive corpuscles emitted from the sun coming into action in the upper atmosphere of the earth. Birkeland considers that corpuscles are negative and brings forward the evidence given by his extensive experiments on the discharges from a magnetized cathode in a special vacuum chamber.—C. P. Bueler.

AURORA OF SEPTEMBER 30, 1916.

Chesterbrook, Va.—At 8:05 p.m. (75th mer. time), or perhaps one or two minutes earlier, on the evening of Saturday, September 30, a glow was noted low in the north to north-northwest. Careful watching for several seconds made it seem probable that the light was in the form of radiating streamers which diverged slightly, the center being probably 20° to 40° below the horizon. The upper limit at which the streamers could be seen was hard to determine, as the light faded out gradually, but it was probably at least 20° above the horizon. No flickering was noted and no movement of the streamers, as wheeling around the center, was noticed. In all, the aurora was seen for perhaps two minutes: I then reached a neighbor's house where I stayed about 20 minutes. On coming out about 8:28 or 8:30 I looked again for the streamers but saw nothing. This aurora was observed at Chesterbrook, Fairfax County, Va., about 6 miles west or west by north of the heart of Washington, D. C.

One printed mention of this aurora has been noted, viz, in the "New Hampshire," a student's weekly publication at Durham, N. H., the location of the New Hampshire College of Agriculture and Mechanical Arts. In the issue of October 6 or 7 it was stated that a brilliant auroral display had been observed the preceding Saturday night [Sept. 30], the arch being conspicuous.—Herbert C. Hunter, A. B.

Alzachorla Bay, N. Y.—On Saturday evening, September 30, 1916, a brilliant [auroral] display took place, although of exceedingly brief duration, the leading feature being the rose-red color of the lower fringe of a beautiful drapery which extended from within a few degrees of the northern horizon to about 35° above, the waves of light sweeping upward and in their rapid ascent changing to pale yellow and finally green at the upper limits of the aurora, as if the changing colors were due to the increasing rarity of the atmosphere met with in the passage of the auroral energy.—Douglas Manning, Cooperative Observer.